

FL vs. Daisy Link - Day 3

10 October 2025 16:00

Day 3 Witnesses:

1. **Witness #8 - State Witness #8 - Ignaras Sepada - Crime Scene Technician - Recalled**
2. **Witness #15 - State Witness #15 - Benjamin Mathis - Chief Medical Examiner**
3. **Witness #16 - State Witness #16 - Sergio Cremisini - Digital Forensics Analyst**
4. **Witness #17 - State Witness #17 - Melanie Ginoris - Detective**

Testimonies:

1. **Witness #8 - State Witness #8 - Ignaras Sepada - Crime Scene Technician - Recalled - 1:18:50**
 - a. Direct Exam conducted by Jennifer Deleon
 - i. She testified to the blood trails she documented when she reported to the scene
 - b. Cross Exam conducted by Antonio Tomas
 - i. She testified that there was blood leading to where the victim collapsed.
 - c. Redirect exam conducted by Jennifer Deleon
 - i. Nothing of note.
2. **Witness #15 - State Witness #15 - Benjamin Mathis - Chief Medical Examiner - 1:20:21**
 - a. Direct exam conducted by Jennifer Deleon
 - i. He testified to having an extensive history of performing autopsies and performed the autopsy on Pedro Jimenez
 - ii. He testified that he had soilings on his leg from bleeding and had a tourniquet when he was transported to the facility.
 - iii. He gave testimony to the wound that caused the fatality. [1:26:00]
 - 1) He testifies that the entrance wound is the left heel (the sole of the foot), and the exit wound was the Achilles tendon at the heel. [1:27:00]
 - 2) He testifies to the hole of the shoe that the victim was wearing and he says that it was consistent with the entrance wound on the foot.
 - 3) He testifies to the wound at the right thigh consisting of both entrance and exit wounds. [1:34:50]
 - a) He says the gunshot is near the victim tattoo and the exit wound is the front of the victims thigh.
 - b) He says that the entrance wound is on the back of the right thigh [1:36:44]
 - 4) He gave a visual demonstration of the wounds and testifies to an entrance wound on the right thigh. He also explains how the bullet may have entered the left heel and agrees its consistent that the victim was perhaps running away with his left foot up to have that entrance wound
 - iv. He testifies to the toxicology testing he conducted to trace substances in the victims body.
 - 1) He testifies that the victim had ethanol which is beverage alcohol, THC, marijuana and cocaine.
 - 2) He testifies that victim had 0.274 Mg of cocaine in his system. He says this was a "healthy amount" of cocaine.
 - 3) He testifies that the substances in his body did not contribute to his death and the gunshot wound was the manner of death.
 - 4) He testifies that the manner of death is homicide.
 - 5) He testified that its unlikely for the bullet to enter through the left heel and then find its way to the thigh the way it did. [53:00]
 - b. Cross Exam conducted by Antonio Tomas
 - i. He testifies that based on the circumstances it was only one shot when he was

asked what would be the determination where there is 2 entrance and 2 exit wounds

- ii. He testifies to the blood, drugs and alcohol levels in his blood.
 - 1) He testifies that Jimenez did use Marijuana but can't say when.
 - 2) He testifies that Jimenez had consumed cocaine
 - 3) He testifies that Jimenez had an alcohol level of 0.8 L, the legal limit is...

c. Redirect Exam

- i. The prosecution hypothesised what would happen if the victim was to have been shot from the leg.
- ii. The prosecution highlighted the likelihood of someone to lose their life if someone delayed in calling for medical help after they were shot.

d. Recross Exam

- i. Nothing of note!

Established in this testimony:

Though a lot of confusion about the trajectory of the bullet, it was firmly established Pedro was shot at the back of the heel as his was the one of the entry wound, then another entry wound on the thigh, exiting from the thigh. Therefore, it is likely he was running away and he was shot in the heel and in the running position, the bullet exits from the top of the foot in to the bottom thigh and exits the top of the thigh.

3. Witness #16 - State Witness #16 - Sergio Cremisini - Digital Forensics Analyst - 2:23:54

- a. Direct Exam conducted by [Male 2]
 - i. He testifies to using Cellebrite to perform his extractions and he specialises in data extraction from devices in the Homicide Unit at Miami Dade county.
 - ii. An audio was played for the jury where Daisy could be heard but what was said was inaudible
- b. No cross examination was conducted.

4. Witness #17 - State Witness #17 - Melanie Ginoris - Detective - 4:11:35

- a. Direct Exam conducted by Alex Berniga
 - i. She testified to the events that occurred when she took Daisy to the station for processing
 - 1) She testified that Daisy was not considered as a suspect and was only considered as important due to being his wife and may know his enemies. She was not under arrest at this point.
 - 2) She testified that the defendant was defensive with her and had asked her why she was treating her as if she was the person who shot the victim.
 - 3) She testified that she took Daisy's fingerprints and she was not under arrest at this time but only because she had touched the deceased; so it was necessary to have it so she could be compared with any other contributors.
 - a) She testified whilst she was taking Daisy's fingerprints, she had said to her that she didn't mean to kill him and immediately, she told her to stop talking and if she wants to say anything further, her rights needed to be read.
 - 4) The attorney went through Daisy's Miranda rights where Daisy had signed off and was able to speak with her to.
 - ii. She testified to the conversation she had with Daisy after she was mirandized.
 - 1) She testified that Daisy had told her that she grabbed the firearm to instill fear in him and said that Daisy told her and Pedro were arguing and Pedro had pushed her, that's when she grabbed the firearm and he saw it and ran away. She had shot it to stop him from leaving. [4:26:08]
 - 2) She presented the drawing she made of the description of events Daisy made showing the path of travel [4:29:08]
 - 3) An audio was played where it was a bit inaudible but wanna die could be heard.
 - 4) The 911 audio that Daisy made was played
 - 5) The video of the interview with the detective was played. [4:32:00]
 - a) She testified that the moment Daisy said that "I killed him and I loved

- him so much" that's the first time the defendant admitted to her that she had killed him. [5:40:36]
- b) Daisy had said in the video recording that Pedro did not allow her to have instagram or be on social media.
 - i) Melanie testified to the fact that she did have instagram and social media accounts.
 - c) She gave an account to some of the instances of Domestic Violence Pedro had and also gave an account of what happened the night of the murder. [6:08:30]
 - d) She says that she shot him because he was running away and she was tired of it all. She didn't mean to kill him.[6:21:00]
 - e) She said that she is not familiar with guns.
 - f) Melanie begins her interrogation and begins to call her out claiming she was lying and pointing out the inconsistencies of her statements. [6:40:00]
 - i) She says that Pedro had told her he wants to die, and she thought he was messing around at first.
- b. Cross Exam conducted by Antonio Tomas
- i. Surveillance videos were played where the cars and Jimenez running around. [6:52:10]
 - 1) It could be heard in the video that Pedro was saying he wants to die
 - ii. She says that she doesn't whether Pedro was loitering and running around outside Daisy's residence
 - iii. She says yes when asked if Daisy had said that she didn't know Pedro was shot.
 - iv. She says yes when asked that Daisy called 911 the moment she realised what was going on.
- c. Redirect Exam conducted by Alex Berniga
- i. She said yes that she confronted Daisy about her statement of "I didn't hit a major artery, you'll be fine. Do you need the hospital?"

Established in this testimony:

A full angle view of what occurred that and how the blood trail was generated. It seemed that Daisy had shot him in an argument whilst outside of the house at the porch. However as he was about to go inside, the gun was raised, seeing this he shouted and ran towards the steps where he was shot at, with the bullet penetrating the back of his heel; travelling through to the back of his thigh exiting at the front of his thigh. He continued running, then stumbling and to the alleyway where he died. This all happened in under 10 minutes where he was running around the neighbourhood.