

FL vs. Daisy Link - Day 2

09 October 2025 15:30

Today's Witnesses:

1. **Witness #8 - State Witness #8 - Ignaras Sepada - Crime Scene Technician**
2. **Witness #9 - State Witness #9 - Sgt. Zuleika Fernandez - Sergeant**
3. **Witness #7 - State Witness #7 - Robert Sisneros - Neighbour/Firefighter - Recalled**
4. **Witness #10 - State Witness #10 - Victor Gill - Canine Trainer**
5. **Witness #11 - State Witness #11 - Harold Riobe Jr. - Canine Handler and Firearm handler**
6. **Witness #12 - State Witness #12 - Jason Felix - Forensic Analyst - Firearms**
7. **Witness #13 - State Witness #13 - Cosette Alvarez - Forensic Biologist**
8. **Witness #14 - State Witness #14 - Danny Morales - Police Officer**

Testimonies:

1. **Witness #8 - State Witness #8 - Ignaras Sepada - Crime Scene Technician - 29:20**
 - a. Direct Exam Conducted by Jennifer Deleon
 - i. She gave testimony of what happened at the scene
 - 1) She testified she arrived at the scene at 5:45am
 - 2) She testified of the blood trail from the vehicles to the alleyway [35:30-36:22]
 - 3) She testified that the victim had a gunshot wound, an emergency blanket on him and a tourniquet on his leg.
 - 4) She testifies to locating the firearm in a tree and was not in plain sight.
 - b. Cross Exam conducted by Antonio Tomas
 - i. The defence enquired further about the blood trail and she guided him on the trail. [56:20]
 - ii. She testifies that it appears that there was blood at Daisy's house [1:00:31]
 - c. Redirect Exam conducted by Jennifer Deleon
 - i. She testifies, saying that there was blood on the steps at the house.
2. **Witness #9 - State Witness #9 - Sgt. Zuniga Fernandez - Sergeant - 1:03:28**
 - a. Direct Exam conducted by Alex Berniga
 - i. She specialises in data forensics and explains the process needed for Data extraction from a DVR. This was needed due to the testimony by Robert Sisneros about not having the footage when the police returned the DVR.
 - 1) She testified that she doesn't believe that the DVR/hard drive was tampered with or manipulated.
 - b. Cross Exam conducted by Antonio Tomas - 1:14:08
 - i. She testified that if tampered with and formatted, she would not be able to go back to April, the last recording before they had hold of the DVR [1:14:15]
 - ii. She testified that there was footage on the DVR, just not the timeframe that the unit was looking for.
 - iii. When asked about what could have happened if someone loses all the footage and she explains that when plugged back in, it reformats and can lose data. [1:22:50]
 - c. Redirect exam conducted by Alex Berniga - [1:24:03]
 - i. She testifies that the hard drive would lose everything if the owner plugs the hard drive back into the DVR.
 - o This testimony establishes that there was footage only that it was lost in transit but the defence made inferences to potential manipulation, where the law enforcement may have removed certain footage that could be exonerating considering there was other footage on the DVR and not all of the DVR was lost. But was clarified that all data was lost as Robert testified yesterday that there was no other footage when the DVR was

back in his possession.

3. Witness #7 - State Witness #7 - Robert Sisneros - Neighbour/Firefighter - Recalled - 1:28:30

- a. Direct Exam conducted by Jennifer Deleon
 - i. He testified that the officers were not able to download the footage back when he was at the house but it wasn't downloading. He said he assumes that the file was incompatible
 - ii. He testified that he nor the officer's tried to tamper with the DVR or manipulate it.
 - iii. He gave testimony to what was happening on the footage he saw on the footage. [1:31:13]
 - 1) He testifies he saw on the video when the victim was stumbling in the alleyway.
 - 2) He testifies to seeing a female getting out of a vehicle, paces back and forth several times and believes she got back into the vehicle but doesn't believe she left the area.
 - 3) He testifies that he wasn't able to see what the female was doing to the male that stumbled as the fence was blocking the field of vision of the camera.
- b. Cross exam conducted by Antonio Tomas - 1:35:58
 - i. He says that if the machine is not plugged into power it would delete whats in the DVR but he says he doesn't know for sure.
- c. Redirect Exam conducted by Jennifer Deleon - 1:38:21
 - i. He clarified that the camera's primary focus is on his house and would not be seeking to capture the street.
 - o This testimony gives greater context to what happened with the DVR and how the data may have been lost and what he saw on the footage he watched with the officers and the two detectives

4. Witness #10 - State Witness #10 - Victor Gill - Canine Trainer - 2:04:26

- a. Direct Exam conducted by [Male 1]
 - i. He was with the K9 unit, testified to the training of the dogs to detect scent.
 - ii. He testifies to responding to the scene on the 25th June 2022 and testifies to locating the firearm with his k9 Nani and found nothing else but the firearm.
- b. No cross examination was conducted.
 - o Testimony establishes that a firearm was located at the scene.

5. Witness #11 - State Witness #11 - Gerald Rioby* - Canine Handler and Firearm handler

- a. Direct Exam conducted by [Male 1]
 - i. He gave testimony regarding the other dog that was used to find the firearm, though this was not the dog or the officer that found the item.
- b. No cross exam was conducted.

6. Witness #12 - State Witness #12 - Jason Felix - Forensic Analyst - Firearms - 3:39:45

- a. Direct Exam conducted by Alex Berniga
 - i. He testified to his experience as a forensic analyst and knowledge of firearms work. He also testified to analysing the firearm that was found on the scene and gave a demonstration for the jury on how the firearm reacts when it is shot.
 - ii. He testified to being requested for a biological analysis of the gun, which he explains means that he then needed to swab the gun for DNA and other biological profiles.
 - iii. He testified that the gun was an unknown make and model and the test fire shots he conducted.
- b. No cross exam was conducted

7. Witness #13 - State Witness #13 - Cuisette Alvarez - Forensic Biologist - 4:14:17

- a. Direct Exam conducted by Alex Berniga
 - i. She gave testimony to the DNA analysis she did after the swabs were transferred into her custody. She explained her methodology and what the results of the analysis means.
 - ii. She testified that the swabs were from the magazine of the firearm, the firearm itself and the front site of the firearm. [4:34:35-4:39:00]
 - 1) She said that the front site of the firearm did not yield enough DNA profile

- for her to analyse.
- 2) She testified that the swab of the firearm generating a mixture of DNA profiles. There was at least 3 contributors, at least 1 male and the predominant female profile was a partial match. She said it was a 1.78 septillion probable match. [4:35:46-4:37:08]
- 3) She testified the swab from the magazine of the firearm that there was no comparisons made as it was a low level match.
- 4) She says because the probability of the match of the female profile is so rare, it is unlikely that someone else had the source of the DNA profile.
- b. Cross Exam conducted by Antonio Tomas - 4:39:40
 - i. She testified that she cannot determined where on the firearm the swab was lifted from.
 - ii. She testified that the minor DNA profile of the other contributors, that she cannot determine who it belong to and it is difficult to ascertain a timeframe or anyone that contributes to the profile.
- c. Redirect Exam conducted by Alex Berniga - 4:44:15
 - i. Nothing of note!
- 8. **Witness #14 - State Witness #14 - Danny Morales - Police Officer** - [4:47:40]
 - a. Direct Exam conducted by Alex Berniga
 - i. He testified that when he was on the scene speaking with Daisy Link. He says that in his opinion she was aggressive and was sitting on the scene.
 - 1) He testified to putting handcuffs on her and detaining Daisy. He says that she became a person of interest and that was why she was detained.
 - ii. He testified that Daisy's sister called Crystal Barreto had said to him that if he is dead, that means that Daisy must have shot him. [5:18:50-5:19:40]
 - iii. He testifies that Detective Cruz was the lead detective and he relayed his findings to him.
 - iv. He testifies that when he was at Sisneros home, there was no evidence he had altered or tampered with the footage. He had seen the footage and describes what he saw on the footage [5:34:55]
 - 1) He says that Daisy went up to the victim's body, manipulate the body, returns to the vehicle, and return back to the victim body.
 - v. A video was played where he testified that he the pop was consistent with gunfire. The video was from on the ring app extracted from Daisy's phone. [5:38:45]
 - 1) He says that he heard Daisy say to the male subject that he will be fine and do you need to go to the hospital. [5:42:15]
 - b. Cross Exam conducted by Antonio Tomas - [5:42:30]
 - i. The Jury heard the audio recording that was captured where die could be heard. [5:43:00]
 - 1) He testified that he cant make out the audio but he could hear the word die when he was asked by the defence attorney that this was Antonio saying do it die. [5:44:17]
 - 2) He testified that it was both Daisy and the victim were the only one on the scene so it could be Pedro.
 - c. Redirect exam conducted by Alex Berniga] - 5:47:37
 - i. He testified that there was no evidence that Pedro was harmed and the video shows that it was only Daisy that was armed.
 - d. Recross exam conducted by Antonio Tomas - 5:48:51
 - i. Nothing of note!

Court adjourned for the day!